

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ADRIANA FERNANDEZ.

Plaintiff,

v.

JAMES RAY MORRIS; HAROLD CARTER;
RAYMOND LOERA; COUNTY OF
IMPERIAL; IMPERIAL COUNTY
SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT; and DOES 1-
100, inclusive.

08cv601 H (PCL)

**ORDER SCHEDULING E.N.E.;
ORDER GRANTING JOINT
MOTION AND STIPULATION TO
EXTEND THE TIME FOR AN
AMENDED COMPLAINT AND FOR
DEFENDANTS TO FILE
RESPONSIVE PLEADINGS**

Defendants.

Pursuant to the Honorable Marilyn L. Huff's order on July 16, 2008, the parties have requested an Early Neutral Evaluation Conference. (Doc. No. 20.) IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that an Early Neutral Evaluation of your case will be held on Thursday, September 4, 2008, at 10:30 a.m., before United States Magistrate Judge Peter C. Lewis, at 2003 W. Adams Ave, El Centro, California.

In compliance with Rule 16.1(c) of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Southern District of California, both counsel and the parties who have full and unlimited authority to negotiate and enter into a binding settlement shall appear at the conference and shall be prepared to discuss the claims, defenses and damages.

Unless there are extraordinary circumstances, persons required to attend the conference pursuant to this Order shall not be excused from attendance. Requests for excuse from attendance

1 for extraordinary circumstances shall be made in writing at least 48 hours prior to the conference.
2 Where the suit involves the United States or one of its agencies, only counsel for the United States
3 with full settlement authority need appear. All conference discussions will be informal, off the
4 record, privileged and confidential.

5 The parties shall submit a short Early Neutral Evaluation Conference Statement about the case
6 on a confidential basis, no later than Tuesday, September 2, 2008. The statement shall not exceed
7 twenty (20) pages.

8 In the event the case does not settle at the Early Neutral Evaluation Conference, the parties
9 shall also be prepared to discuss the following matters at the conclusion of the conference.

10 1. Any anticipated objections under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1)(E) to the initial
11 disclosure provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1)(A-D);

12 2. The scheduling of the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) conference;

13 3. The date of initial disclosure and the date for lodging the discovery plan following the
14 Rule 26(f) conference; and,

15 4. The scheduling of a Case Management Conference pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
16 Procedure 16(b).

17 The Court will issue an appropriate order addressing these issues and setting dates as
18 appropriate.

19 Plaintiff's counsel shall notify all Defendants of the date and time of the Early Neutral
20 Evaluation Conference. Questions regarding this case may be directed to the Magistrate Judge's law
21 clerk at (760) 353-1271.

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 Lastly, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, the joint motion for an extension of time for Plaintiff
2 to file an amended complaint and Defendants to file responsive pleadings is GRANTED. Plaintiff
3 shall have twenty (20) days from the E.N.E. Conference to file an amended complaint. Defendants
4 shall have twenty (20) days from Plaintiff's deadline to file a responsive pleading.

5 || IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 DATED: August 14, 2008

Rebecca

Peter C. Lewis
U.S. Magistrate Judge
United States District Court